

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,193	07/09/2003	Masayuki Tsuchiya	000138A	9222	
38834 7590 07/20/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER		
			IP, SIKYIN		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1742		
•		,	·		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/615,193	TSUCHIYA ET AL.	TSUCHIYA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sikyin Ip	1742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress	
REPLY FILED <u>03 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.		
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	wing replies: (1) an amendi tice of Appeal (with appeal ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evider fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date	set forth in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In ion.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W 06.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN	
ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exerging the period of exerging the period of exerging the state of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) TICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r than three months after the r	g amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final Off nailing date of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed ENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They have not described to be a least the service in the	onsideration and/or search ow);	(see NOTE below);		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues to	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		many rejected elamine.		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of	f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		anarata timalu filad amanda	ont cancaling the	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	ilowadie it submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the	
	D will not be entered or	h) ⊠ will be entered and an		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			explanation of	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			explanation of	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	vided below or appended. ut before or on the date of	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u>	<u>ot</u> be entered	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of the date of the sufficient reasons why the date of a Notice of Appeal, but provercome all rejections under y and was not earlier preserved.	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>nate</u> the affidavit or other evidence tior to the date of filing a brief, der appeal and/or appellant fa tented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ot be entered is necessary and will <u>not</u> be ails to provide a (1).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in prior final rejection. Applicants' argument in page 5, first paragraph of instant remarks is noted. But, first, cited reference recognizes the benefit of uniform heating between points A and B. Second, the heating rate as taught by reference from room temperature to temperature A anticipated the claimed heating rate. Applicants fail to provide factual evidence that the claimed temperature gradient would not have been inherently possessed by cited reference. Applicants argue that the cited reference fails to teach heat-treating Fe alloy. But, applicants' attention is directed to col. 5, lines 54-58 of cited reference that teaches same heat treatment can be applied to Fe alloy. Applicants argue cited reference failed to teach heating material with chilled structure. But, claimed "chilled structure" reads on structure of solidified starting alloys.